

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 LAURA LESKINEN,

11 Plaintiff,

No. 2:10-cv-03363 MCE KJN PS

12 v.

13 CAROLYN A. HALSEY, et al.,

14 Defendants.

ORDER

15 \_\_\_\_\_/  
16 In an order entered July 7, 2011, the court granted defendant Douglas Whitman's  
17 ex parte request for an extension of time in which to respond to plaintiff's Second Amended  
18 Complaint. (Order, July 7, 2011, Dkt. No. 25.) On July 14, 2011, plaintiff filed an "objection"  
19 to that order on the grounds that the court granted Whitman's request before plaintiff could file a  
20 written opposition to Whitman's request. Plaintiff's objection arguably constitutes an application  
21 for reconsideration of court's July 7, 2011 order. To the extent that plaintiff's objection is an  
22 application for reconsideration by the undersigned of the July 7, 2011 order, it is denied on the  
23 grounds that no new or different facts are claimed to exist that would support withdrawing or  
24 otherwise altering the orders entered June 29, 2011, and July 8, 2011. See E. Dist. Local  
25 Rule 230(j).


26 ///

1 Plaintiff's chief complaint appears to be that she has expended significant sums of  
2 money to serve defendants in this case, including Douglas Whitman, after first seeking waivers  
3 of service from defendants. To the extent that plaintiff is concerned that defendants in this case,  
4 including Douglas Whitman, failed to execute waivers of service without "good cause," the  
5 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provide a mechanism through which plaintiff may seek to  
6 recover the funds she expended in serving defendants who failed to waive service without good  
7 cause for so failing. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(d)(2). However, no request for the mandatory  
8 reimbursement of service-related expenses is presently before the court.

9 In light of the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's objection to  
10 the court's July 7, 2011 order is overruled.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 DATED: July 18, 2011

13  
14   
15 KENDALL J. NEWMAN  
16 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26